

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/783,553	02/15/2001	Hirotsugu Satoh	R2184.0095/P095	9369		
24998 7	590 10/26/2005		EXAMINER			
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			YIGDALL, N	YIGDALL, MICHAEL J		
2101 L Street, 1 Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2192			
			DATE MAILED: 10/26/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/783,553	SATOH, HIROTSUGU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael J. Yigdall	2192		

	Michael J.	Yigdall	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE	THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2)</li> <li>(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in confollowing time periods:</li> </ol>	or on the same following replies a Notice of App	day as filing a Noti s: (1) an amendme eal (with appeal fee	ce of Appeal. To avoid a nt, affidavit, or other evic e) in compliance with 37	lence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing da	te of the final rejec	ction.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.	er than SIX MONT r (b). ONLY CHE	HS from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ion and the corres d statutory period	ponding amount of the for reply originally set i	fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 ) as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or as Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mula AMENDMENTS	ny extension the	ereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject (a) They raise new issues that would require furthe (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE	r consideration			because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form fo			g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cancelin			ly rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR			n Compliant Amondmon	+ (DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFF		ached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendmer	it (PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed amendr	ment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	,		] will be entered and ar	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final actio because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e)</li> </ul>	d and sufficient			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	to overcome <u>a</u> ssary and was	<u>ll</u> rejections under a not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant f d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a l(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explar REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	iation of the sta	itus of the claims a	tter entry is below or atta	icnea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considere	d but does NO	Γ place the applicat	ion in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statements. Other:	t(s). (PTO/SB/0	98 or PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)	

Continuation of 3.

The proposed amendment changes the scope of the claims. Specifically, the claims would now recite that it is the "stored updated software," already stored on a memory device of a computer, that is then further stored in an optical recording medium. This limitation was not previously presented in the claims, and thus the proposed amendment would require further consideration and/or search.

MY

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER